## Approved For Release 2005/08/02 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000100100067-8

27 February 1981

Dave:

Attached FYI are automotive fuel consumption statistics. Historically only the fuel consumed by the LSD Motor Pool vehicles was reported to DOE. Somehow the FTB trucks were considered "operational" and therefore excluded from external reporting although we did track and maintain internal records on FTB vehicle fuel usage. If we continue this policy of limiting our reporting to only LSD vehicles, the Agency would boast an 11% decrease in automotive fuel consumption in FY-80 over our base year of FY-75. This could be deceptive however, since the trend appears to be headed in the opposite direction - increased consumption rather than a decrease. If however, we combined both LSD and FTB vehicles in our reporting, the overall decrease is narrowed to 8% which is still highly respectable. The only problem I can foresee with this approach would be the wide variance in our FY-79 and FY-80 figures submitted to DOE. Comparison of both years (without qualification) would suggest a whopping 31% increase in total automotive fuel usage in FY-80 over FY-79. The reason being of course, is that the FY-79 report reflects 29 fewer vehicles (FTB).

Cur draft annual report to DOE showing an 8% decrease in FY-80 over FY-75 base reflected both ISD and FTB vehicle fuel usage. Also note that the total combined ISD and FTB vehicle fuel consumption in FY-80 was 42% higher than in FY-79.

I guess the question now is whether to continue just reporting LSD vehicle fuel usage or begin including FTB vehicles as well. Any opinion? Maybe in the dismantling process of DOE, this reporting requirement will fall by the wayside and the above will be all academic (hope so).

STAT

Note: C/P&PS elected to continue reporting only LSD vehicles.